Department of Anatomy

MBBS phase I Students gave an average rating of 9.4 for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the Anatomy department.

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results Anatomy Department
1	Organization of the course contents	98% of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department
2	Overall Learning Environment:	94% were happy with overall teaching environment
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	86% were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials
4	Maintaining Schedule	100% of the students were satisfied.
5	Infrastructure	90 % were satisfied and 10% could not comment
6	Clinical Material /cases	Not applicable
6	Each Practical and Clinical classes	98 % of the students agreed they had hands on training.
7	Teaching faculty	91% Of the students were happy with the teaching faculty
8	Assessment and feedback:	90% were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department

15-01-2017

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Department of Physiology

MBBS phase I Students gave an average rating of 8.1 for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the Anatomy department.

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results Physiology Department
1	Organization of the course contents	95% of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department
2	Overall Learning Environment:	93% were happy with overall teaching environment
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	84% were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials
4	Maintaining Schedule	92% of the students were satisfied.
5	Infrastructure	86% were satisfied and 10% could not comment
6	Clinical Material /cases	Not applicable
7, 8	Each Practical and Clinical classes	98 % of the students agreed they had hands on training.
9	Teaching faculty	75% Of the students were happy with the teaching faculty
10	Assessment and feedback:	78% were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department

15-01-2017

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Department of Biochemistry

Students from MBBS phase I gave an average rating of 7.8 out of 10 for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the Biochemistry department.

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results
1	Organization of the course contents	83.2 % of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department
2	Overall Learning Environment:	80.6 % were happy with overall teaching environment
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	87.3 % were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials
4	Maintaining Schedule	72 % of the students were satisfied
5	Infrastructure	87.2% were satisfied with the infrastructure available in the department
6	Clinical Material /cases	Not Applicable
7,8	Each Practical and Clinical classes	98 % said they had hands on training
9	Teaching faculty	70% Of the students were happy with the teaching faculty
10	Assessment and feedback:	81.4 % were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department

15-01-2017

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Department of Community Medicine

MBBS Phase 2, 4th term Students gave an average rating of 7.6 out of 10 for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the Community Medicine department.

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results
1	Organization of the course contents	93.6 % of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department
2	Overall Learning Environment:	83.8 % were happy with overall teaching environment
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	80.6 % were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials
4	Maintaining Schedule	77.6 % of the students were satisfied 8% were dissatisfied
5	Infrastructure	74.8 % were satisfied
6	Clinical Material /cases	73% Adequate and also variety of clinical material were available and had opportunity to examine and learn
7,8	Each Practical and Clinical classes	93.6% felt it matched the objectives and 96.8 % said they were under direct supervision of faculty/resident.
9	Teaching faculty	90.3 % Of the students were happy with the teaching faculty
10	Assessment and feedback:	83.9 % were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department

15-01-2017

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Department of Microbiology

MBBS Phase II, 3th term students gave an average rating of 7.5 for the for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the department of Microbiology.

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results
1	Organization of the course contents	90.4% of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department.
2	Overall Learning Environment:	77.4% were happy with overall teaching environment.
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	86.5% were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials.
4	Maintaining Schedule	90.3% of the students were satisfied 8% were dissatisfied.
5	Infrastructure	74.2% were satisfied with the infrastructure in the department.
6	Clinical Material /cases	71.3% Adequate and variety of clinical material were available.
7,8	Each Practical and Clinical classes	83.9% felt it matched the objectives and 80.6% said they were under direct supervision of faculty/resident.
9	Teaching faculty	80.6% Of the students were happy with the teaching faculty.
10	Assessment and feedback:	77.4% were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department.

19-01-2017

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Department of Pathology

MBBS Phase II, 3th term students gave an average rating of 7.8 for the for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the department of Pathology.

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results
1	Organization of the course contents	85% of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department.
2	Overall Learning Environment:	85% were happy with overall teaching environment.
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	77.5% were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials.
4	Maintaining Schedule	77.5% of the students were satisfied 8% were dissatisfied.
5	Infrastructure	92.5% were satisfied with the infrastructure in the department.
6	Clinical Material /cases	75% Adequate and variety of clinical material were available and had opportunity to examine and learn.
7,8	Each Practical and Clinical classes	71.5% felt it matched the objectives and 85 % said they were under direct supervision of faculty/resident.
9	Teaching faculty	85% Of the students were happy with the teaching faculty.
10	Assessment and feedback:	77.5% were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department.

19-01-2017

PRINCIPAL

Vydehi Institute of Medical Sciences

& Research Centre

82, EPIP Arez Whitefield,

Bangalore - 550 066.

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Department of Pharmacology

MBBS Phase II, $3^{\rm rd}$ term students gave an average rating of 7.8 for the for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the department of Pharmacology

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results
1	Organization of the course contents	90.9 % of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department
2	Overall Learning Environment:	87.9 % were happy with overall teaching environment
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	74.8% were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials
4	Maintaining Schedule	80.8% of the students were satisfied 8% were dissatisfied
5	Infrastructure	78% were satisfied
6	Clinical Material /cases	74% Adequate and variety of clinical material were available and had opportunity to examine and learn
7,8	Each Practical and Clinical classes	78.8% felt it matched the objectives and 81.8 % said they were under direct supervision of faculty/resident.
9	Teaching faculty	84.8 % Of the students were happy with the teaching faculty
10	Assessment and feedback:	81.8 % were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department

19-01-2017

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Department of Forensic Medicine

MBBS phase 2, 4th term students gave an average rating of 7.6 out of 10 for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the Forensic Medicine department.

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results: Forensic Medicine
1	Organization of the course contents	80.3% of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department
2	Overall Learning Environment:	87.1 % were happy with overall teaching environment
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	73.5 % were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials
4	Maintaining Schedule	96.8 % of the students were satisfied 8% were dissatisfied
5	Infrastructure	93.6% were satisfied
6	Clinical Material /cases	73.5% Adequate and also variety of clinical material were available and had opportunity to examine and learn
7,8	Each Practical and Clinical classes	73.1% felt it matched the objectives and 96.8 % said they were under direct supervision of faculty/resident
9	Teaching faculty	77.1 % Of the students were happy with the teaching faculty
10	Assessment and feedback:	83.9 % were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department

15-01-2017

PRINCIPAL
Vydehi Institute of Medical Sciences
& Research Centre
82, EPIP Area Whitefield,
Bangalore - 569 066.

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Department of Community Medicine

MBBS Phase 3, 6th term Students gave an average rating of 7.61 out of 10 for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the Community Medicine department

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results
1	Organization of the course contents	91.3 % of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department
2	Overall Learning Environment:	91.3 % were happy with overall teaching environment
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	82.6 % were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials
4	Maintaining Schedule	91.3 % of the students were satisfied 8% were dissatisfied
5	Infrastructure	65.2 % were satisfied
6	Clinical Material /cases	70% Adequate and also variety of clinical material were available and had opportunity to examine and learn
7,8	Each Practical and Clinical classes	87% felt it matched the objectives and 98% were under direct supervision of faculty/resident.
9	Teaching faculty	91.3 % Of the students were happy with the teaching faculty
8	Assessment and feedback:	78.2 % were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department

15-01-2017

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Department of ENT

MBBS Phase 3, VI term Students gave an average rating of 8.0 out of 10 for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the ENT department

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results
1	Organization of the course contents	88.5 % of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department
2	Overall Learning Environment:	88.4 % were happy with overall teaching environment
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	82.3 % were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials
4	Maintaining Schedule	88.5 % of the students were satisfied 8% were dissatisfied
5	Infrastructure	96.1 % were satisfied
6	Clinical Material /cases	84.4% Adequate and also variety of clinical material were available and had opportunity to examine and learn
7,8	Each Practical and Clinical classes	96.2% felt it matched the objectives and 100 % said they were under direct supervision of faculty/resident
9	Teaching faculty	89 %0f the students were happy with the teaching faculty
10	Assessment and feedback:	84.7 % were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department

15-01-2017

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Department of Ophthalmology

MBBS phase 3, V term students gave an average rating of 8.4 out of out of 10 for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the department Ophthalmology

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results: Ophthalmology department
1	Organization of the course contents	79 % of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department
2	Overall Learning Environment:	78.6 % were happy with overall teaching environment
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	95.7 % were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials
4	Maintaining Schedule	81.3 % of the students were satisfied 8% were dissatisfied
5	Infrastructure	85.7 % were satisfied
6	Clinical Material /cases	86.9 % satisfied; Adequate and variety of clinical material were available and had opportunity to examine and learn
7,8	Each Practical and Clinical classes	86.9% felt it matched the objectives and 91.3 % said they were under direct supervision of faculty/resident
9	Teaching faculty	95.7 %Of the students were happy with the teaching faculty
10	Assessment and feedback:	85.6 % were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department

15-01-2017

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Medicine

MBBS Phase 3, 8th term Students gave an average rating of 8.5 out of 10 for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the Medicine department

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results
1	Organization of the course contents	100 % of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department
2	Overall Learning Environment:	85.6 % were happy with overall teaching environment
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	95.6% were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials
4	Maintaining Schedule	95.6% of the students were satisfied 8% were dissatisfied
5	Infrastructure	86.9% were satisfied
6	Clinical Material /cases	75% said adequate and also variety of clinical material were available and had opportunity to examine and learn
7	Each Practical and Clinical classes	81.3% felt it matched the objectives and 43 % said they were under direct supervision of faculty/resident.
8	Teaching faculty	87 % Of the students were happy with the teaching faculty
9	Assessment and feedback:	82 % were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department

15-01-2017

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Department of Surgery

MBBS Phase 3, 8th term Students gave an average rating of 8.2 out of 10 for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the Surgery department

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results
1	Organization of the course contents	85.6 % of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department
2	Overall Learning Environment:	85.6 % were happy with overall teaching environment
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	81.3% were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials
4	Maintaining Schedule	82.6% of the students were satisfied 8% were dissatisfied
5	Infrastructure	86.9 % were satisfied
6	Clinical Material /cases	87% Adequate and also variety of clinical material were available and had opportunity to examine and learn
7,8	Each Practical and Clinical classes	87% felt it matched the objectives and 91.3% were under direct supervision of faculty/resident.
9	Teaching faculty	91.3 % Of the students were happy with the teaching faculty
10	Assessment and feedback:	87 % were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department

15-01-2017

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Department of Pediatrics

MBBS phase I Students gave an average rating of 7.71 for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the Pediatrics department

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results
1	Organization of the course contents	82.6 % of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department
2	Overall Learning Environment:	87 % were happy with overall teaching environment
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	87% were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials
4	Maintaining Schedule	91.3 % of the students were satisfied 8% were dissatisfied
5	Infrastructure	87% were satisfied
6	Clinical Material /cases	78.3% Adequate and also variety of clinical material were available and had opportunity to examine and learn
7	Each Practical and Clinical classes	78.2% felt it matched the objectives and 87 % said they were under direct supervision of faculty/resident.
8	Teaching faculty	86.9 % Of the students were happy with the teaching faculty
9	Assessment and feedback:	82.6 % were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department

15-01-2017

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Department of OBG

MBBS phase 3,8th Students gave an average rating of 7.9 for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the OBG department.

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results Anatomy Department
1	Organization of the course contents	81.3% of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department
2	Overall Learning Environment:	74% were happy with overall teaching environment
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	75% were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials
4	Maintaining Schedule	82% of the students were satisfied.
5	Infrastructure	86 % were satisfied and 10% could not comment
6	Clinical Material/Cases	78.3% Adequate and also variety of clinical material were available and had opportunity to examine and learn
7	Each Practical and Clinical classes	88 % felt it matched the objectives and 87 % said they were under direct supervision of faculty/resident
8	Teaching faculty	81% Of the students were happy with the teaching faculty
9	Assessment and feedback:	82% were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department

15-01-2017

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Department of Orthopedics

MBBS Phase 3, VIIIth term Students gave an average rating of 7.27 out of 10 for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the Orthopedics department.

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results
1	Organization of the course contents	78.2 % of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department
2	Overall Learning Environment:	82.6 % were happy with overall teaching environment
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	82.6% were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials
4	Maintaining Schedule	74 % of the students were satisfied 8% were dissatisfied
5	Infrastructure	75% were satisfied
6	Clinical Material /cases	78.3% Adequate and also variety of clinical material were available and had opportunity to examine and learn
7	Each Practical and Clinical classes	78.2% felt it matched the objectives and 82.6 % said they were under direct supervision of faculty/resident.
8	Teaching faculty	82.6 % Of the students were happy with the teaching faculty
9	Assessment and feedback:	87.6 % were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department

15-01-2017

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC

Department of Dermatology:

MBBS Phase- 3, 8th term students gave an average rating of 8.23 out of 10 for the overall effectiveness of teaching in the Dermatology department.

SI No	Topic/aspects of the T/L experience	Results
1	Organization of the course contents	83 % of the students were satisfied with the teaching and learning experience provided by the department
2	Overall Learning Environment:	95 % were happy with overall teaching environment
3	Time allotted to Lectures, practical Assessments	92 % were satisfied with the time allotted to each topic/component of lectures, and practical/clinical classes was adequate for learning the materials
4	Maintaining Schedule	87 % of the students were satisfied 8% were dissatisfied
5	Infrastructure	87 % were satisfied
6	Clinical Material /cases	87 % Adequate and also variety of clinical material were available and had opportunity to examine and learn
7	Each Practical and Clinical classes	92 % felt it matched the objectives and 87 % said they were under direct supervision of faculty/resident
3	Teaching faculty	95 % of the students were happy with the teaching faculty
)	Assessment and feedback:	87 % were satisfied with the assessment and feedback system in the department

15-01-2017

Prepared by Dr Komaladevi S D, MEU Coordinator, VIMS & RC